KOBE – GAC: ICANN64 Communique Drafting (1 of 4)
Tuesday, March 12, 2019 – 17:00 to 18:30 JST
ICANN64 | Kobe, Japan

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   So I think we have techs now that we can start our first iteration of the GAC communique.  Can we have the text on the screen?.

Okay.  So before starting, I was asking the title of this section, and it's called other issues.  And I was wondering whether this is -- I mean, it should be a section where we highlight important issues to the GAC but without any concrete advice to the board at the moment.  So I just felt that other issues sounds a little bit like miscellaneous which maybe undermines the importance of the topic listed in this section.  So this is just a friendly suggestion to change maybe the title to issues of importance to the GAC, if this is okay.

Okay.  So first topic is the dot Amazon applications.  Fabien.

FABIEN BETREMIEUX:   So we will read through the text and I will stop at the end so there's an opportunity for making any comments or agreeing with the text.  Dot Amazon applications.  The GAC discussed the request by governments from the Amazon region, in particular Brazil, Peru, and Colombia and Ecuador on behalf of active

*Note: The following is the output resulting from transcribing an audio file into a word/text document. Although the transcription is largely accurate, in some cases may be incomplete or inaccurate due to inaudible passages and grammatical corrections. It is posted as an aid to the original audio file, but should not be treated as an authoritative record.*

member states sent through the GAC through the mailing list that they be given the opportunity to develop together with the Amazon company Inc. the mutually acceptable solution for the dot Amazon [indiscernible] in the communique.  Reiterating the terms of the request and emphasize their comment to work toward a final solution that should ensure the Amazon countries' meaningful -- the GAC considered their request and expressed the desire that the parties expeditiously reach mutually acceptable solution.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Thank you, Fabien.  Any comments?  US, please.

UNITED STATES:   Thank you.  I just want to refer to the last sentence.  While I agree that the GAC considered their request, I'm not sure that we actually had agreement within the room on the remaining text and it's not completely clear what the remaining text is referring to with respect to parties.  We had quite a bit of discussion on this. So I would recommend perhaps just stopping either -- delete that go sentence or stopping at the GAC considered their request, thanks.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Yes, Brazil, please.

BRAZIL:                          Thiago speaking, for the record.  Just to explain where that last sentence was included.  I personally thought the US expressed desire that the parties could sit together in attempt reach a mutually acceptable solution even though made this clear they wouldn't support GAC advice on this issue.  Again, thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:  So if we say encouraged the parties.  Would this address the concern?  And is it acceptable?  US.

UNITED STATES:              I think that can be acceptable and will perhaps -- clearly I don't understand either the part giving me concern is a very generic reference to parties.  So perhaps it could be expressed the desire that the Amazon countries work directly with Amazon Inc. or whatever Amazon refers to itself as.   And then yes, to expeditiously reach a mutually acceptable solution.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:  I see nodding.  Brazil, is this accepted?  Okay.  I see nodding.  Any other comments on this part?  Okay.  If not -- yes, US, please.

UNITED STATES:      I apologize.  I think I still prefer the GAC considered their request because I'm just -- with the wording I don't want to give the wrong impression and it's just cleaner.  I just feel it's kind of left up to interpretation a bit the way the language currently stands, would go back to my original the GAC considered their request.

BRAZIL:      Thank you, Madame Chair.  I was quite happy with the language suggested by the chair as a compromise solution.  If you allow me, I would like to ask if there is anyone in the room who wouldn't be wishing that the parties reach together to reach expeditiously a mutually acceptable solution.  If there is someone that would express that now perhaps we could therefore delete because it wouldn't be an accurate reflection of the feeling in the room but if no one expressing that particular view, I think we could keep the deleted text, thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:      So any comments?  US, please.

UNITED STATES:      For the sake of keeping things moving, could we just put this in brackets and I could get back to you on this particular thing?  Thank you.

ICANN 64
COMMUNITY FORUM
KOBE
9–14 March 2019

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:    Okay.  Thank you, US.  I feel European Commission and then Belgium.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION:    Yes, I would also support keeping the text as modified.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:    Thank you, European Commission.  Belgium.

BELGIUM:    Maybe we could use invited or encouraged, but I think it's important that we keep the last sentence.  Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:    Thank you, Belgium.  So let's move on and we will get back again to this section to finalize.  So if we can scroll down to see the two character country codes at the second level and again, this is copy and paste from what we have shared with the board earlier today.  So the GAC acknowledges the ICANN board's response to the GAC advice in the recent scorecard document, a number of GAC members have raised questions about how the board decision of 8 November 2016 withdrawing the authorization process for the release of two character country codes [indiscernible] GAC members will look at response and get back

to the board for future discussion.  GAC appreciates the development of the two character tool which may address the concerns of some GAC members related to the risk of confusion created by the use of country codes at the second level under gTLD, GAC members will try using the tool over the coming period and have agreed to have the Montreal meeting as a checkpoint.

Yet GAC members may share their initial experiences of using the tool and further reactions at their meeting with the board at ICANN 65.  So the only change from what we shared with the board is 65 -- it was 64, which is the meeting that we are at right now.  So this is the only change from what we have already shared with the board.  Any comments?  France, please.

FRANCE:                           Yeah, thank you, Manal.  I think we should get rid of the last sentence because the last sentence was created because we had the meeting with the board.  Now we're just going to say the Montreal meeting will be the checkpoint and I think it's enough for the tool, right.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:    Okay.  Any objections to the suggestion that we delete the last sentence? I see none. Okay. Then moving to the IGO protections, again, it's the same text we shared with the board.  The GAC

appreciates the board's response to GAC advice and the recent scorecard document and would like to note that during the Kobe meeting the GAC has had fruitful exchanges with GNSO council regarding the possibility of restarting the PDP on curative protections under conditions amenable to all interested parties including IGO's and interested GAC members with a view to achieving mutually acceptable results.  At the meeting the GAC indicated that there should an timeline with a targeted date associated with such a course of action.  Any comments?  Okay.  Then let's move on.  And this is -- consensus advice to ICANN board.

So this is the text we have received on WHOIS and data protection legislation.  So we haven't gone through this text before so let's go slowly.  The GAC advises the board to take necessary steps to ensure that the GNSO EPDP on the temporary specification for gTLD registration data institutes concrete milestones and expeditious deadline for concluded Phase II activities.  Bullet two, take necessary steps that ensure the scope of Phase II activities is clearly defined to expeditious conclusion and implementation.  Three, make available the necessary resources for Phase II to expeditiously advance on the complex legal issues deferred from Phase I.  Four, consider instituting additional parallel work efforts on technical implementations such as that carried out by the

technical study group for purposes of informing and complementing the EPDP's Phase II activities.  Yes, Belgium.

BELGIUM:  Could I have an explanation about this sentence?  It seems we support or -- if I do understand correctly, are we supporting the idea or -- of course they will consider the work, they asked for this work so I don't understand the sentence.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:  So -- yes, US, please.

UNITED STATES:  So the intent behind the sentence is to recognize the need for expeditious concluded including milestones the reason we're proposing this for GAC advice, there are some concerns this effort could continue on in perpetuity.  Nothing right now that would require that the Phase II activities be dealt with in a timely manner.  Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:  Thank you, US.  Does this answer your point, Belgium?  Okay.

SWITZERLAND: Thank you, Manal. Jorge Cancio. It's more a question of clarification. With the advice to the board to take necessary steps in all these different points, how can we avoid that the board response that this is in the hands of the GNSO. So that's perhaps a clarification question, perhaps there's a way to be a bit more specific. And finally, on the literal five Roman, rdv registration directory service, right? So perhaps we should write that out. Thank you.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you, so maybe we can [indiscernible] five as well, facilitate swift implementation of [reading] as they are developed and agreed. To your first point, I had the same concern that we run into a situation providing advice that the board might not be able to confirm but maybe we can look at the language. So yes, Switzerland, please.

JORGE CANCIO: I obviously defer to the -- those colleagues who have written this draft, but I remember that in other occasions we have asked the board to request the GNSO or something like that when -- really the decision was in the GNSO's hands.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Yes, I think we touched on this briefly but we can make the point again to the small group and maybe fine tune the language if needed.  But on the five bullets, if they are okay, we can move on, Spain.

SPAIN:   I think in point 5 it will be useful to mention explicitly the [indiscernible] to facilitate swift implementation of [reading] if no one is against mentioning them.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Thank you, Spain.  Any reactions to the text on the screen?  WIPO.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:   Sorry, Thank you, Chair, small point of clarification, probably should say privacy and proxy services accreditation issues.  I think the ppsi was the acronym used for precision there.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Thank you very much, WIPO, European Commission.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION:    I'm not sure I see the value of adding this point on privacy proxy services.  If I understood, well the point 5, it's about make sure those recommendations stemming from the EPDP are swiftly

implemented in the policy so I don't see the link with the privacy proxy services there.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   I think it's provided as an example, right?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:   Yes, as an example.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION:   But why do we need this specific example?

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   So maybe we can also leave this and you can discuss, I mean no rush, we still have time and this is a very first reading and we are all reading the text for the first time so it's understandable that we will revisit the text again. But it's good that we flag issues that we need to discuss. So yes, Spain, please.

SPAIN:   It was only included as an example but we feel it is particularly appropriate example. If the commission has other examples that it wanted to add or someones could mention other [indiscernible] examples, it would be perfectly appropriate.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Thank you, Spain.  So yeah, I would encourage -- yeah, Cathrin.

CATHRIN BAUER-BULST:   The original intent was to facilitate the swift implementation of the new policy as being developed and the question is by whether adding this example we're basically changing the meaning of this part if there's a way to clarify we both want swift implementation of the policy yet to be completed but don't want ICANN to forget about other policies they have unnecessarily put on hold and maybe deserves second point but we agree with the effort to restart the privacy proxy work as discussed previously.  So possibly we could discuss amongst ourselves and reformulate but just to explain why we see a certain conflict until the wording as it is now.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Thank you, Cathrin.  So Spain, are you okay with deleting the text or do you want more time maybe to discuss during the –

SPAIN:   Maybe it could be [indiscernible] later.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Okay.  So can we move on to the rationale?  The GAC has consistently advised on the necessity of finding a swift solution to

ensuring timely access to nonpublic legislation data for legitimate third party purposes in view of the significant negative impact of the changes in WHOIS accessibility on users with legitimate purposes.  The GAC has previously noted that such universe with legitimate purposes include law enforcement services iip rights protection and notes in its guidance has expressly encouraged ICANN and the community to develop a comprehensive model covering the entirety of the data processing cycle from collection to access.  Comments?  The GAC notes the time necessary to complete Phase II considerable and require focused scoping of the activity to ensure the -- Phase II efforts given consideration to elements that could be provided by community efforts in parallel and may not need to be [indiscernible] scope such as accreditation models.

The GAC received briefing on and welcomed the work of the technical study group.  The GAC considers that the development of options for technical implementation demonstrates how a future system for RDS access could be implemented also with a view to data security and privacy considerations.  The Phase II considerations could benefit from further exploration of technical implementation options.  In addition, engaging in such considerations in parallel can help ensure that policies once agreed are swiftly put into practice.  Yes, Spain, please.

SPAIN: When law enforcement is mentioned, maybe there could be a mention to civil and penal law enforcement.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you, Spain. Any comments? Belgium.

BELGIUM: I'm not sure we had a discussion about the new model. We have just a presentation of this new model and I understood that there are a lot of questions which has to be raised by the policies and I think it's maybe too optimistic or maybe looks like we support the new model. Of course it's one of the solution there are maybe other solutions so I would like to be more neutral if possible.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Yes, Cathrin, please.

CATHRIN BAUER-BULST: For the commission. So would this be addressed if we cut the and welcomed? So we could just say the GAC received a briefing on because the point is really another one.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Is this okay, Belgium?.

BELGIUM: Yes.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you, Belgium and European Commission. Other comments? Okay. Let's move on. So we don't have text yet for the CCT review. And no text for follow-up for previous GAC advice --.

BELGIUM: I would like to mention the point I made the day before yesterday on legal and natural persons because I think it's important to remind the board that they have to find a solution as well about this distinction between the citizen and the companies about the exchanges of data.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Okay. So I think we would be having a break anyway so if there is suggestion for concrete text, but I have the US first.

UNITED STATES: Thank you. While I'm completely sympathetic and in agreement with my colleague from Belgium, my only concern is that the GAC actually noted a number of ongoing concerns as reflected in our statement to the final report on Phase I and I would be a little bit uncomfortable pointing out just one is to perhaps an alternative

ICANN
COMMUNITY FORUM 64
KOBE
9–14 March 2019

is that in the rationale we can draw attention to and provide a link to that statement that articulates our views on that subject and others.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Thank you, US.  Is this acceptable, Belgium?

BELGIUM:                          Yes, I think it's a good proposal.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Thank you, Belgium and US.

BELGIUM:                          Just maybe the reference to the GAC advice of Barcelona because we mentioned something there I think on the Barcelona advice.  I have to check.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Is this the reference you are talking about, US, and meanwhile I have France seeking the floor.

FRANCE:                          Yeah, Thank you, Chair.  I think we've mentioned the distinction between legal and natural persons at least in the San Juan

communique and pending GAC advice that the board deferred making decision pending the result of Phase I of the EPDP.  So another way to look at it would be to ask maybe the board how they want to -- or when are they going to make a decision on pending GAC advice now that the Phase I report is out.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   So this is another suggestion that we reference the third GAC advice.  US.

UNITED STATES:             Yes, thank you.  I appreciate that recommendation.  The only problem there is that some of our past advice has actually changed.  So without going through an exercise of indicating that, I wouldn't want to give the impression that we still expect certain things to happen that honestly aren't feasible anymore.  My only concern with that recommendation.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Thank you, US.  Any comments?  So again, the reference we'll be putting here, the suggestion is to reference the -- yes, US.

UNITED STATES:             My suggestion is and certainly open to other ideas, to reference the GAC statement that was made to the Phase I report that

identifies all the areas that we still have some lingering concerns. We weren't going to stand in the way of the report proceeding but want to go on the record and included in there is this issue of legal versus natural as well as other issues if we're going to mention one we may as well may as well make sure it's comprehensive.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION: I want to note on the specific topic there's recommendation 17 which is asking ICANN organization to undertake a study on the issue. So this is also something that it is in the current EPDP report. So we can restate this but we have somehow to acknowledge that there are steps taken during the policy development require further study on the issue if we do so.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Okay. So maybe during the following break while we are waiting for the other -- to receive the remaining text, maybe we can try to fine tune the part on data protection. We had something pending. Can we scroll up, please? I'm sorry. Yes. So just to resolve the -- we have a proposal that we reference the GAC statement on Phase I report inclusive and covering all issues that the GAC raised. I think this was satisfactory to to Belgium, France, you made another reference. I hope you are satisfied also with the suggestion made by the US. And yes, France.

FRANCE:                             Yes.  Well, I'm not sure but what is meant by we changed our GAC advice.  At least I know we had pieces of advice deferred so the board will have to come back to us anyway.  Just wondering when and how they plan to do that because now Phase I is finished, are they going to wait for Phase II as well, I think we should look at that as well.  Maybe it could be [indiscernible] mechanisms.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:   Yes, so I think we need to get back to the deferred parts, reconfirm that they still hold and we can either follow up on them explicitly or see if there are any changes that we want to modify our previous GAC advice.  So anything else that we have ready now? [speaker away from microphone].

A question now is would you like to pause and work the pending parts or shall we go to the communique from the beginning and go through parts that are not essentially GAC advice to the board but still it's within the GAC communique and we have used to review the text?  Okay.  So there's a suggestion that we go from the beginning.

So the governmental advisory committee of the Internet corporation for assigned names and numbers, ICANN meet in Kobe Japan from 9 to -- and we will put the dates, March 2019,

and we will insert the number of GAC members and number of GAC observers attempted the meeting. The GAC meeting was concluded as part of ICANN64, all GAC plenary and working group sessions were conducted as open meetings.

Any comments? Okay. Can you please scroll down. Inter constituency activities and community engagement meeting with the ICANN board, the GAC met with the ICANN board and discussed follow-up on GAC Barcelona advice scorecard, two character search tool and briefing materials, schedule for addressing advice in GAC Kobe communique and board follow-up on deferred advice.

I think this is the board GAC interactions group, not the meeting with the board. This is the BGIG meeting? So this one will be fixed to reflect the agenda of our meeting with the board.

Then next is the meeting with the generic names supporting organization, the GNSO. The GAC met with members of the GNSO council and discussed IGO access to curative rights protection mechanisms, expedited proles process EPDP for the temporary specification for gTLD registration data and new gTLD subsequent procedures.

Then meeting with the registry stakeholder group of the GNSO, the GAC met with members of the registry stakeholder group. Sorry. And discussed the role and structure of the registry

stakeholder group within ICANN's multi-stakeholder model and universal awareness on TLDs. Meeting with the registrars stakeholder group of the GNSO -- I'm sorry, I didn't pause but I thought we're just -- it's basically the agendas that we have discussed so I'm assuming there are no comments.

So meeting with the registrars stakeholder group of the GNSO, the GAC met with members of the registrars stakeholder group and discussed expedited policy development process, EPDP for the temporary specification for gTLD registration data. Third party access to nonpublic WHOIS data and registrar efforts on DNS abuse. Meeting with the country code names supporting organization ccSNO, GAC met with members and discussed retirement of presentation of DNS over https, this meeting hasn't taken place yet, we should be meeting with the ccsno tomorrow, if any changes, we will be reflecting those, we still have communique drafting tomorrow. So just a placeholder for tomorrow's meeting and likewise the second following section on ALAC. Again, this meeting will take place tomorrow to discuss joint GAC ALAC statement on EPDP. Alignment of new gTLD subsequent procedures with the CCT review recommendations, occupation and capacity building, reactions to President Macron's speech, follow [reading] this is the meeting we just concluded before meeting the board. The tsg briefed the GAC on its purpose work and recently released draft technical model for

access to a nonpublic registration data.  The tsg expect to finalize its technical model by end of April 2019.

Community discussions, GAC members participated in relevant cross community sessions and high interest topics scheduled as part of ICANN64 including ICANN's strategic planning.  Next steps in ICANN's response to the GDPR and universal acceptance. Again, the session, the cross community session on universal acceptance and [indiscernible] taking place on Thursday but this is just to note GAC attendance.

Internal matters.  There are currently 178 GAC members and 37 observers, GAC leadership, the GAC thanked its outgoing vice chairs, Ghislain de Salins and Guo Feng from China to their valuable support and contribution to the GAC ending two one-year terms, so vice chairs, thank you very much.

[applause]

So the end of ICANN64 Kobe's meeting marks the start of a new term for the incoming GAC leadership team so same chair, Cherif Diallo and [indiscernible] [indiscernible] second term as well, Thiago Brazil for the second term and we have our incoming new vice chairs, Olga Cavalli, Argentina, Luisa Paez, Canada.

On GAC working group, we have received the following reports from the working groups.  GAC public safety working group which

met this morning, the PSWG discussed abuse mitigation measures and the ICANN board decision on CCT reviewed team recommendations focusing on the operational definition of abuse established in 2009 and mentioned in the 2013 Beijing GAC advice on safeguards for new gTLDs.

The PSWG also considered priorities for the second phase of the EPDP and highlighted the need for swift development of an unified access model. The PSWG thanks its outgoing member of the leadership team, Iranga Kahangama, and apologies for any mispronunciation and his commitment in the work of the PSWG on behalf of the GAC. Not sure if he's in the room but he has been instrumental.

[applause]

And GAC human rights. So any comments on the PSWG? Okay. GAC human rights and international law working group, the human rights and international law working group discussed the role of the GAC in the implementation of ICANN's human rights core value and as a result will work on an options paper drawing from GAC members' input on the four potential options initially under consideration, the human rights and international law working group is working with the cross community working party on human rights on a potential cross community engagement during ICANN 66 in Montreal. Pending ICANN board

consideration of the cross community working group accountability wok stream 2 recommendations. Meantime the working group looking forward to the conclusions of ICANN's org's rights rights impact assessment expected after the ICANN64 meeting for GAC review and input.

The working group co-chairs proposed to ensure that all GAC materials especially the GAC communique abide by accessibility standards. Any comments? Okay. GAC working group on GAC participation in NomCom, the working group received an update from the NomCom implementation planning team, ipt, some of the recommendations in its implementation plan may have an impact in the GAC's participation in NomCom, and those were reviewed during the meeting. The working group expects to receive further updates from the NomCom implementation planning team and plans to report GAC accordingly. Any comments? I believe this is the text we already received from Olga, right? Okay.

So moving on to the GAC underserved region's working group, the GAC underserved region's working group reviewed and discussed elements of a more sustainable strategy for capacity development effort within the GAC. The working group members acknowledged the capacities development evaluation report on the successful capacity building initiatives carried out 2017-2018 which will be sent for the GAC for endorsement inter-sessionally

and highlighted efforts aimed as utilizing the ICANN learn platform as a strategic tool to assist members of the underserved region working group and GAC newcomers. Additionally the working group reported on the submission of the additional budget request for the ICANN 2020 financial year to resource five capacity development workshops. The working group also discussed its updated work plan which is structured under three strategic goals aimed as enhancing knowledge and building capacity among members, increasing participation in ICANN's policy development process, and identifying leads from among the underserved regions working group and building relationships with the wider ICANN community and relevant stakeholders. GAC endorsement of the working group plan will be [indiscernible] inter-sessionally before ICANN 65 in Marrakesh. Any comments? Okay.

GAC working group to examine the protection of geographic names in any future expansion of gTLDs, the GAC geographic names working group provided the GAC with an update on progress of Work Track 5 of the new gTLD subsequent procedures, right? PDP. Dedicated to the issue of geographic names at the top level domain names. I think -- yeah, thank you. The comments received on the Work Track 5 initially report were discussed pending further consideration of these in Work Track 5 on which the working group plans to report to the GAC.

Working group on GAC operating principles evolution, and again, with the caveat that this working group will be meeting on Thursday but our communique drafting should last tomorrow, by the end of tomorrow. So for now again, this is a placeholder stating the working group co-chairs presented and outlined the charter framework scope and work plan of the new GAC operating principles working group that was established in Barcelona. And this is a factual thing that will happen on Thursday. But then the working group charter and work plan were approved and we are putting this between brackets pending what will happen on Thursday. Should the charter be approved by the GAC membership we would remove the brackets. Otherwise we will delete the whole sentence. So I hope this is acceptable.

And finally, board GAC interaction group, the BGIG, and I think it's again, the same thing that we read by mistake on the meeting with the board. So if there are no comments on the working group, I would like to thank the co-chairs and chairs of the working groups for their reports and for leading the work of the working groups. Thank you very much. And moving on to GAC operational matters.

The GAC reviewed a number of matters designed to improve the effectiveness and efficiencies of GAC operations including confirming the communique drafting process, development of new tracking cape be thes to support the GAC consideration of

public comment opportunities, potential communications improvement including new regular reporting mechanisms for policy developments and will leverage leveraging GAC website activity pages, invitation of a project to create mapping for implementing cross community working group accountability, work stream 2 recommendations impacting GAC and finally, progress to updating the GAC travel support rules for consistency with the new ICANN travel guides.

And again, this very last point will be discussed on Thursday morning. Right? Yeah, Rob, please.

ROBERT HOGGARTH: I note there are two other sessions we don't have text in here yet for. One is tomorrow morning's liaison reports. We're still developing that with the presenter, so we will have that updated and secondly, something not on the schedule but that you are working to input is the ccgi working with Nigel and [indiscernible] not in here yet.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: Thank you, Rob. So I think we've gone through a full first read of the communique. We haved a few for the part on Amazon and the part on GDPR. I hope GAC colleagues will get in touch and maybe we can -- I think we can conclude this session for today. It's

quarter past 6:00 and just checking tomorrow's schedule.  So I hope tomorrow -- yeah, we are starting 8:30 with the liaison reports, so thank you very much.  It's been a very productive day, and Russia, please.

RUSSIA:  Sorry to keep you from going home.  Just wanted to check if you received our comments that we've made today during the [indiscernible] and couldn't find the information on the [indiscernible] session in the document and would like to discuss where to put the comment concerning the jurisdictions.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:  So I'm sorry, I haven't checked my email so has it been received?  I mean –

RUSSIA:  We sent it on the [indiscernible] we can copy to Fabien.

MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR:  Sorry, thank you, and thank you for flagging this.  We will get back to this issue yes, please,.

| | |
|---|---|
| GHANA: | I was wondering where we could get a document from.  Thank you. |
| MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: | I'm sorry, where can you find the communique document?  The URL for the Google doc has been circulated and you will find it in your in box.  I think it has been circulated even today, right? |
| GHANA: | Sorry, I just joined the email list. |
| MANAL ISMAIL, GAC CHAIR: | I understand, you will find the URL for the Google doc in your in box.  Thank you Ghana, so anything else?  Okay.  Thank you very much.  Thank you for your patience.  I think we have achieved a lot today and see you tomorrow 8:30 here.  Thanks for support staff and the IT team and to our interpreters.  Thank you. |

**[END OF TRANSCRIPTION]**

ICANN
COMMUNITY FORUM
64
KOBE
9–14 March 2019